## Smooth effect types & Big Data methods

#### Matteo Fasiolo (University of Bristol, UK)

matteo.fasiolo@bristol.ac.uk

June 27, 2018

Matteo Fasiolo (University of Bristol, UK)

## Smooth effect types & Big Data methods

#### Structure:

- GAM model fitting
- O Types of smooth effects
- Big Data methods

### Structure of the talk

#### Structure:

#### GAM model fitting

- 2 Types of smooth effects
- Big Data methods

## GAM model fitting

Recall the GAM model structure:

 $y|\mathbf{x} \sim \mathsf{Distr}\{y|\mu(\mathbf{x}), \boldsymbol{\theta}\}$ 

where  $\mu(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}(y|\mathbf{x}) = g^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{m} f_j(\mathbf{x}) \right\}.$ 

The  $f_j$ 's can be

• parametric e.g. 
$$f_j(\mathbf{x}) = eta_1 x_j + eta_2 x_j^2$$

- random effects
- spline-based smooths such as

$$f_j(x_j) = \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_{ji} b_{ji}(x_j)$$

where  $\beta_{ji}$  are coefficients and  $b_{ji}(x_j)$  are known spline basis functions. NB: we call  $\sum_{j=1}^{m} f_j(\mathbf{x})$  linear predictor because it is linear in  $\beta$ .

#### $\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}$ is the maximizer of $oldsymbol{penalized}$ log-likelihood

$$\hat{\beta} = \underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \operatorname{PenLogLik}(\beta|\gamma) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\beta} \left\{ \underbrace{\mathcal{L}_{y}(\beta)}_{\text{penalize complexity}} - \underbrace{\operatorname{Pen}(\beta|\gamma)}_{\text{penalize complexity}} \right\}$$

where:

• 
$$L_y(\beta) = \sum_i \log p(y_i|\beta)$$
 is log-likelihood

- $\mathsf{Pen}(\beta|\gamma)$  penalizes the complexity of the  $f_j$ 's
- $\gamma > 0$  smoothing parameters (†  $\gamma$  †smoothness)

## GAM model fitting

We use a hierarchical framework (Wood, 2011):

**()** Select  $\gamma$  determine smoothness

$$\hat{\gamma} = rgmax \ \mathsf{LAML}(\gamma)$$

where  $\mathsf{LAML}(\gamma) \approx p(y|\gamma) = \int p(y, \beta|\gamma) d\beta$ .

2 For fixed  $\gamma$ , estimate eta to determine actual fit

$$\hat{oldsymbol{eta}} = egin{smallmatrix} \mathsf{argmax} \ \mathsf{PenLogLik}(oldsymbol{eta}|oldsymbol{\gamma}). \ eta \end{pmatrix}$$







Alternatives to Laplace Approximate Marginal Likelihood (LAML) for  $\gamma$  selection:

- Generalized Cross-Validation (GCV)
- Akaike Infomation Criterion (AIC)

but LAML is most widely applicable in mgcv.

Variance parameters of random effects can be included in  $\gamma$  and estimated by LAML.

Extra parameters  $\theta$  of  $y | \mathbf{x} \sim \text{Distr}\{y | \mu(\mathbf{x}), \theta\}$  handled similarly.

### Structure of the talk



mgcv offers a wide variety of smooths (see ?smooth.terms).

Univariate types:

- s(x) = s(x, bs = "tp") thin-plate-splines
- s(x, bs = "cr") cubic regression spline
- s(x, bs = "ad") adaptive smooth

Multivariate type:

- s(x1, x2) = s(x1, x2, bs = "tp") thin-plate-splines (isotropic)
- te(x1, x2) tensor-product-smooth (anisotropic)
- s(x, y, bs = "sos") smooth on sphere

They can depends on factors:

$$s(x, bs = "cr", k = 20)$$



Cubic regression splines are related to the optimal solution to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \{y_i - f(x_i)\}^2 + \gamma \int f''(x)^2 \, dx.$$

Matteo Fasiolo (University of Bristol, UK)

s(x, bs = "cc")



Cyclic cubic regression splines make so that

s(x, bs = "ad")



The wiggliness or smoothness of f(x) depends on x.

s(x1, x2), s(x1, x2, x3), ...

Based on thin plate regression splines basis.

Related to optimal solution to:

$$\sum_{i} \{y_{i} - f(x_{i}, z_{i})\}^{2} + \gamma \int f_{xx}^{2} + 2f_{xz}^{2} + f_{zz}^{2} dx dz$$

A single smoothing parameter  $\gamma$ .

Isotropic: same smoothness along  $x_1, x_2, ...$ 

Matteo Fasiolo (University of Bristol, UK)



Figure : Rank 17 2D TPRS basis. Courtesy of Simon Wood.

Matteo Fasiolo (University of Bristol, UK)

Isotropic effect of  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  are in same unit (e.g. Km).

If different units better use tensor product smooths te(x1, x2).

Construction: make a spline  $f_z(z)$  a function of x by letting its coefficients vary smoothly with x



- x-penalty: average wiggliness of red curves
- z-penalty: average wiggliness of green curves



Can use (almost) any kind of marginal:

- te(x1, x2, x3) product of 3 cubic regression splines bases
- te(x1, x2, bs = c("cc", "cr"), k = c(10, 6))
- te(LO, LA, t, d=c(2,1), k=c(20,10), bs=c("tp","cc"))

Basis of te contains functions of the form  $f(x_1)$  and  $f(x_2)$ .

To fit  $f(x_1) + f(x_2) + f(x_1, x_2)$  separately use:

y ~ ti(x1) + ti(x2) + ti(x1, x2)

#### **By-factor smooths**

Approach (1) is 
$$s(x, by = subject)$$
, which means  
•  $\mu(x) = f_1(x) + \dots$  if subject = 1  
•  $\mu(x) = f_2(x) + \dots$  if subject = 2  
•  $\dots$ 

Approach (2) is s(x, subject, bs = "fs"), which means

where  $b_1, b_2, \dots \sim N(0, \gamma_{\mathbf{b}}\mathbf{I})$  are random effects.

In (1) each  $f_j$  has its own smoothing parameter.

In (2) all  $f_j$ 's have the same smoothing parameter.



### Structure of the talk

## Structure:



2 Types of smooth effects

#### Big Data methods

Recall the GAM model structure

$$\mu(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}(y|\mathbf{x}) = g^{-1} \Big\{ \sum_{j=1}^{m} f_j(\mathbf{x}) \Big\}$$

Here  $\mu(\mathbf{x}_i)$  can be written as  $g^{-1}(\mathbf{X}_i\beta)$ , where  $\mathbf{X}_i$  row of matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  having has *n* rows and

$$d = p + k_1 + \cdots + k_j + \cdots + k_m$$

columns.

## Big Data methods

Bottom line: X can get very big, which causes problems:

- storing **X** takes too much memory
- computing things involving X (e.g.  $X^T X$ ) takes time

Solution implemented in mgcv::bam function:

• do not create X but only sub-blocks:

$$oldsymbol{\mathsf{X}} = \left[egin{array}{cc} oldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}_{11} & oldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}_{12} \ oldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}_{21} & oldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}_{22} \end{array}
ight]$$

do not store them either, but create them when needed;

- any computation involving X is based on the blocks;
- use parallelization when possible;

Further acceleration and memory savings by discretization.

Instead of having *n* unique rows of **X** discretize to  $b \ll n$  rows.

In mgcv:

```
fit <- bam(y ~ s(x),
    discrete = TRUE,
    nthreads = 2,
    ...)</pre>
```

### Further reading



Matteo Fasiolo (University of Bristol, UK)

Wood, S. N. (2011). Fast stable restricted maximum likelihood and marginal likelihood estimation of semiparametric generalized linear models. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)* 73(1), 3–36.